

ODE EMIS Conference Call Summary

6.1.21 Notes provided Naja Bailey (META) and Catherine Wright (NEONET)

FY22 Changes and Public Comments- Making progress on FY22 changes and the public comment process and responding to those. Today we expect we will have a few additional changes released for the next round of public comments with more to follow later this week. Very soon start posting responses for the first round of public comments on first set of changes posted.

Schedule Updates –

- ***Financial Collection*** – Opens Thursday, June 3rd and closes the end of August. Appeals will begin shortly after. No longer have the supplemental collection since the capital assets record has been done away with.
- ***Five year forecast optional window*** – This Thursday through the end of August. Shortly before we start collection of the Five Year Forecast Initial Required for FY22.

Q: Could you talk about who reports testing and codes and seals for JVS students? The JVS can report the GW records for the credentials, correct? I have a JVS outside our consortium that is stating that ODE the credentials have to be reported in G now to prove the student earned the Industry Credential seal.

A: Correct. They can report in the Career Tech window as a JVS but they are not able to report in the G window. With that being said, if it is reported in the Career Tech, we will use it in the G Collection. If they are graduating using Industry Credentials, they don't have to re-report it in G. Only have to report in G things that have not been reported in other windows. So that Career Tech window is still open, that is where the JVS should report them

Q: As far as the seals, program codes like plans to earn, does the JVS report those or does the home school need to?

A: The JVS does not because they do not award the diploma. The district that awards the diploma, even if the student is attending the JVS full time, should be the one who awards those. That is the district that should be reporting those.

Q: Can you talk about the KRA information that went out? If a district has students on the invalid report, they need to check it with the new vendor file and if those students' scores are on there, they need to do an appeal or not?

A: If ODE put scores on the invalid report that means that ODE invalidated them. We are saying that the student did not take enough items, they are not a student with a disability or are not a student in one of the special cases where they could get a score without taking all the items. That is based on the latest file from the vendor as well. So those things should match. There are very few districts that will need to file an appeal on this. The cases we are seeing is where the teacher hand computed the score for the student on the assessment and therefore the information that was not in the vendor system. The student may have done all the assessment items, the teacher put some of the results into the vendor assessment system but not the whole thing and they ended up computing the results manually. So, the assessment vendor doesn't think they completed the entire assessment so that is why it would be invalidated. The few cases we found so far where a district would need to file an appeal, is where ODE has invalidated the score but the teacher actually has completed results for that student. You'd have to find the vendor or ODE did something wrong, but a lot have been hand-reviewed and we believe logic is correct.

The appeals are going to be for things done outside the assessment system, or for students who had some of their demographic information misreported to ODE. Example, up to this point a district did not report a disability and ODE invalidated the score because they did not complete the assessment (rare event) you could appeal that. Appeals would be rare. Would only be if the demographic information has been wrong the entire school year on that student or if the teacher actually did complete the full assessment but did not enter all the information into the KRA system.

Q: If a district used the KRA score as the Reading diagnostic and it's been invalidated, what do they report then?

A: I believe there is guidance that is coming out from the Literacy area at ODE. We are trying to track down that the guidance actually took place. Remember, it's just a sub-score, a part of the overall KRA assessment that is used for the Reading diagnostic piece. If it's not the Language Literacy section, it doesn't impact it.

Q: Change 21-167, which is the GY and the Jr and Sr being allowed to use their course grades in substitution. That SNR reason would not be W?

A: It's the same one that has been used all along for CCP. There will not be a distinction between CCP and the course grade substitution for Career Tech.

Q: As far as the KRA Valid/Invalid, you are saying those lists are correct unless something was done outside assessments?

A: Those reports are an effort on ODE's part to be transparent with what they did. More so than an effort to point out you have an invalid and therefore you need to appeal. The vast majority of districts would not have appeals at all.

Next Call:

Change Call: Wednesday, June 9th – ODE expects to have the rest of the FY22 changes read to go by then.

ITC Call: Monday, June 14th