

ODE ITC Conference Call 3/9/20

*Notes provided by Naja Bailey (META) and Catherine Wright (NEOnet).*

**FY20D March CTE Follow-up** –

- 1) ODE finished up the last appeals on Friday. If any questions remain, get them in ASAP.
- 2) ODE is in the process of updating CTE assessment accountability reports, which should be finishing up this morning. Still some bugs with how some Industry Credentials and CCP courses are being incorporated into the report (1 - CCP courses that stand in place of GY assessments, and 2 - Industry Credentials that take the place of GY at the course level; less than 1% statewide). ODE is still working on getting them fixed sometime this week but wanted to get updates out for GY assessments from March that weren't being reflected.
- 3) Getting close to doing the accountability report for "D" as to how students roll-up to various measures; targeting to have them released to the Files tab by next week.

**Q:** We saw a change in the March reports between Feb 24 & 27; the CTAC report has old counts listed but when you open it there are more rows, and two who were previously Concentrators were removed from the All Students file.

**A:** Yes, data IN the report has been updated with additional data, but the counts and processing status weren't (this was a bug), so it doesn't look like it has been updated since January even though it has. ODE will be updating reports with additional data added today, including correcting the counts and processing timestamps.

**Q:** So, if they had students that should have come off and now Fatal out, they can ignore them?

**A:** Correct, if they are not to be included in March follow-up, they'll be Fatal errors. They can confirm by looking at their All Students file for the latest list of students required to be reported.

**Past weekend's processing** – you may have noticed that Level 2 reports were delayed, but everything should be updated by now.

**FY19 Grad Appeals** – currently going on, ends this Friday for FY19 cohort. Appeals will be ongoing for windows not closed and will be part of regular Grad appeals process going forward. Reminder from the Office of Data Quality, when districts are submitting an appeal, they must put the Fiscal Year Began 9<sup>th</sup> grade that should have been reported, not what cohort the student should be in. They have to identify the data that was misreported that ended up putting the student in the wrong cohort, and then ODE will determine the cohort itself.

**Q:** What can districts do about the CCP Split Payment report since this is old data from FY16-FY19 that has aged out?

**A:** There should be very few split payments. From ODE's perspective, the resolution is to go into ODDEX and if it hasn't aged out, they can still place a review flag to show who should pay. If they can't flag, then they need to escalate and put in comments to say who should pay. It'll be directed to their Area Coordinator in school finance. Communication hasn't gone out yet, but will be soon, about the CCP FY16-FY19 payment wrapping up this spring.

**Q:** Does the CCP Non-Payment report say the college isn't getting paid? Is there anything districts can do? Most of them were things they flagged back in FY17 and FY18, but one student was marked as not enrolled and I couldn't find those subjects listed in ODDEX.

**A:** Yes, it means the college is not set to be paid. From the LEA perspective it's more informational because either the LEA put a flag or review that stopped payment, or the college submitted bad data or something else is just not right that the college needs to fix. If you have a specific question, you can put in a helpdesk ticket. That Non-Payment report is helpful if a college calls and says they have students not being paid for, since that report also goes to the college, so they can communicate with each other and figure out why.

**Upcoming calls –**

Next ODE Change Call – 3/11/20 (this Wednesday)

Next ODE Conference Call – 3/23/20